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MR. MAYER:
Call the meeting to
order. Roll call.
CLERK LEGLER: Roger Mayer?
MR. MAYER: Yes.
CLERK LEGLER: Christine Genthner?
MS. GENTHNER: Present.
CLERK LEGLER: Larry Nelson?
MR. NELSON: Present.
CLERK LEGLER: Robert Ramsdell?
MR. RAMSDELL: Present.
CLERK LEGLER: Tom Terwall?
MR. TERWALL: Here.
MR. MAYER: We are considering
the request for Wayne Pitts for the Police and Fire Commission to reconsider the disciplinary recommendation of the fire chief, Chief McElmury. Now Hector wants to say a few words.

MR. DE LA MORA: For the record, my
name is Hector de la Mora and I am an attorney that has been retained to provide legal advice to the five members of the Fire and Police Commission and I am going to be assisting them as they proceed through this particular hearing.

For everyone's information, I have passed out and have given to Mr. Pitts a copy of that portion
of the Wisconsin Statute 62.13(5) and the reason for my giving this out is that at the bottom of that page, we have a series of seven questions at the bottom of the page on the backside which basically will be the questions that you will have to be considering and responding to in hearing this particular matter.

Essentially what has happened is that the fire chief has submitted to you under cover date of September 21, 2012 a set of charges. I have passed out copies of those charges in the event that you have not brought your personal copy and I understand that Mr. Pitts has his copy also.

Is that correct?
MR. PITTS:
Correct.
MR. DE LA MORA: And these are the charges which the fire chief will basically have to establish before you to your satisfaction and then you will have to make a determination as to whether the evidence is here to support these charges. You will then have to make a determination with regard to the disposition of this matter.

As you may have noticed, the notice of the meeting itself contemplates that today, the only thing that will occur is that you will receive evidence from the fire chief, his witnesses, and Mr. Pitts to the
extent that he wishes to present information to you. I have spoken previously with Mr. Mark Olson who is the attorney representing the fire chief and Mr . Pitts and I have learned that Mr. Olson will, to start off his presentation, be asking that an exhibit be marked and I will pass out this exhibit to you. He will have his witnesses testify.

Mr. Pitts on the other hand has indicated to me that he is appearing here on his own. He is not being represented by an attorney and at this time, I don't believe that he has any materials that he contemplates passing out.

Is that correct, Mr. Pitts?
MR. PITTS: Correct. MR. DE LA MORA: To my left is a court reporter. The court reporter will be taking down all of the testimony that will be considered by you. In making your decision about this matter, it is very important that even though you are in some cases perhaps long-time members of this community, that you make your decision solely on the basis of the information, the evidence that is received during this proceeding.

Because the notice says that we will only be having the hearing today, I will be recommending to your chairperson that another date be set once we
conclude the hearing for you to convene in closed session to deliberate. You will have at that point a copy of the transcript if you need to refer to it and give me direction as to the preparation of a decision in this matter.

Under the Wisconsin Statutes, it is required that you provide a written determination with Findings of Fact. It is important that you pay attention as much as you can. The court reporter will be taking down everything verbatim. The interesting thing about your role here is that you also, after Mr. Olson has presented his witness and the witness has been examined, you, too, will have the opportunity to ask questions of the witness to satisfy yourself about anything that the witness has testified or anything you think the witness has knowledge of that may be relevant to this particular matter.

All of the testimony that will be presented will be presented under oath and the court reporter, who is also a notary public, will be swearing the witnesses.

Do any of you have any questions concerning the procedure?

MR. MAYER: No.
MR. DE LA MORA: Okay. Mr. Pitts,
you acknowledged before that you did receive the charges. Do you wish the charging document to be read orally or are you willing to accept the document as part of the record without it being read?

> MR. PITTS: I can accept it
without it being read.
MR. DE LA MORA: I would ask the reporter to mark the charges as Hearing Exhibit No. 1. At this time, I will turn the matter over to Mr . Olson for the presentation of the fire chief's case.
(A document was marked as Hearing Exhibit 1) MR. OLSON: Thank you. I want to thank you ladies and gentlemen of the Commission and Mr. de la Mora. We are here today because of the determination of the administration of the Fire and Rescue Department that it is necessary -- it is unfortunate, but it is necessary that the employment of Wayne Pitts, a paid on-call firefighter, be terminated through this procedure.

We do not do this. We don't ask for
his termination without a good deal of thought and a good deal of consideration of the situation. This is an employee who has been a part of this department for some time. But in those years that he has been here, there
have been problems -- significant and troubling problems that Mr . Pitts has created for this department, and that is what has brought us here today in this proceeding.

It is the position of the department that these problems cannot be permitted to continue if this department is going to continue to function efficiently and with an appropriate level of discipline in the department among its employees. This department, like the police department, is a paramilitary organization and it has to function that way. It cannot exist or function if its members are insubordinate or if they do not observe policies and work rules or if they cannot or will not follow the directives of the supervisory employees of this department.

The citizens of your village depend upon these employees in times of emergency. Their lives are in the hands of these employees. And if your employees cannot or do not or will not follow the orders and directives of this department, the lives of your citizens are placed at risk, their properties placed at risk, and also the lives and the safety of other employees of the department are placed at risk.

This department cannot function effectively and efficiently if employees are permitted to make their own decisions on matters such as whether
they will come to work or not when they are scheduled to do so, whether they will follow the orders of their supervisors or will disregard those orders, whether they will engage in the training that is necessary if they are to have the necessary skills in order to function efficiently in this department, whether they will comport themselves in a manner which is professional and respectful and observant of the chain of command which exists here and has to exist in this department.

It is within that context that I have described that we begin our recommendations as to this matter today. You are going to hear and see evidence that establishes very clearly the following facts.

On August 21, 2012, Mr. Pitts, during the midst of a department training exercise, made the unilateral decision to simply abandon the exercise without any reason and without any permission or authorization from the department and without even giving the department the courtesy of notifying them that he was leaving the exercise without concluding it. Chief McElmury and Lieutenant Clark will describe for you what happened on that day and why Mr. Pitts' conduct was detrimental and potentially catastrophic to the department and, of course, for your citizens on that date. His unilateral unauthorized abandonment of the
training exercise on August 21, 2012 was a major violation of a number of rules and regulations of the department and of the employee village handbook. This in and of itself we submit was probably grounds for termination of his employment.

But what happened on that date,
August 21, 2012, was only the tip of the iceberg because Mr. Pitts has been flaunting and ignoring and disregarding the rules of this department for years as Chief McElmury and other witnesses will describe for you and as our exhibits will show you.

On August 20, 2009, Mr. Pitts was disciplined by this department for cancelling his work shift without finding any replacements and without notifying the department of his absences.

On November 8 and November 14, Mr. Pitts was reprimanded for failing to follow department orders and for insubordination and disrespect to his supervisors.

On November 26, 2009, Mr. Pitts was reprimanded by the village administrator for sending e-mails to village employees which were abusive, disrespectful and unacceptable.

On April 25, 2011, Mr. Pitts was reprimanded by the department for negligent conduct
which was directly responsible for causing damage to a new department vehicle.

The evidence that will be presented here will demonstrate that not only did Mr. Pitts engage in these acts of negligence and misconduct and insubordination and disrespect for the rules and policies of your department; he never cooperated with the department in the investigation of these events and he never acknowledged or accepted any responsibility for his negligence and his misconduct on those occasions.

Further, this department expects and requires that its employees will participate in $75 \%$ of the department training exercises. Mr. Pitts was clearly and unequivocally advised of this expectation when he was hired in 2002 and you will see a document that establishes that. This 75\% standard is also clearly stated in the rules and regulations of the department. The evidence which we will show you here and present to the chief will clearly demonstrate that Mr. Pitts has failed by a wide margin to meet this training goal, this training standard, in 2007, 2008, 2010, and in 2011. He was advised by Chief Paul Guilbert -- former Chief Paul Guilbert in 2009 that this attendance was not acceptable and could not continue and yet he again failed to meet that standard in 2010 and in

2011 leading to this recommendation among other things.
Further, Mr. Pitts was convicted after a plea of no contest in 2008 to the criminal act of computer crimes and destruction of computer data. This was a result of his hacking into the computer files of a former employer which had terminated him in 2006. What he did resulted in losses to the employer -- his former employer of over $\$ 34,000$. Mr. Pitts admitted in Waukesha County to the authorities there where it occurred and to Chief Guilbert that he had committed these crimes. He characterized them as pranks. We don't view them as pranks. Chief McElmury will advise you during his testimony how this criminal conduct by Mr. Pitts is relevant to this issue to his termination and why this criminal conduct creates the need to terminate his employment in the department here. The sum total of all of this, ladies and gentlemen, is that Mr. Pitts has proven to be a liability to this department. His presence as an employee in that department -- in this department is a threat to this department and to your citizens and a threat to your other employees, his fellow employees, and can't be tolerated to continue or continue any longer.

This department believes that it has
tolerated all that it can from Mr. Pitts and his abandonment of his training on August 21, 2012 must be viewed as the last straw. His insubordination, his disrespect, his disregard of the policies and regulations of this department can no longer be tolerated or permitted to continue here. The August 21, 2012 training incident was the last straw and the department cannot continue to permit such conduct any longer which is why we are here.

Before we present our witnesses, I want to provide you with the documents which Mr. de la Mora has indicated he has in his possession now and briefly walk you through those and indicate what they are. I have a copy here for Mr. Pitts as well.

MR. DE LA MORA: Can we have this marked as a chief's exhibit and numbering it consecutively as Exhibit 2?

MR. OLSON:
However you would
like to, yes. It is subdivided in the book anyway, so however you'd like to identify these. MR. DE LA MORA: I will have the reporter mark the document that you have given me. (A document was marked as Exhibit No. 2) MR. OLSON: Just to briefly explain, there is a table of contents here which shows
what is behind the numbered and lettered tabs. What I want to do is just walk through and identify what is behind each of these to make it easier when we get to those during the testimony or during your deliberation.

Tab A is the statement of the charges regarding Mr. Pitts prepared by Chief Douglas McElmury. It is dated September 21, 2012 and ends with the recommendation that Mr. Pitts' employment with the department be terminated. This has already been entered into the record as Exhibit 1, but it remains referred to here as well.

Behind Tab B, we have certain portions of the revised village employee handbook which state the handbook areas that are relevant to this recommendation as we view them and which are cited by Chief McElmury in his September 21, 2012 charges, all of which were in effect on August 21, the date of the action by Mr. Pitts which have caused the chief to make this recommendation to the Commission.

Behind Tab 1 are signed acknowledgements by Mr. Pitts indicating that he has received the handbook that is cited here in support of this recommendation.

Behind Tab C, we have the July 16, 2002 Contingent Offer of Employment which was issued to

Mr. Pitts by Mr. Pollocoff as the village administrator stating specifically the conditions and expectations for the position of paid on-call firefighter including the clearly-stated requirement that the employee attend 75\% of all scheduled fire and rescue training sessions.

Behind Tab D, we have the most recent department job description for the position of paid oncall firefighter which is the position that was held here by Mr. Pitts prior to this action reflecting the revisions that had been made to the job description. Tab $E$ has a number of subtabs dated one through six. These are documents which address the August 21, 2012 training session incident in which Mr. Pitts left before it was concluded and without authorization from any supervisor. The first document behind number one is the training sign-in sheet which was initialed by Mr. Pitts indicating he was going to take part in that training. It does show at the top the start of the training would be 1900 hours, 7:00 o'clock, the time. Ended was scheduled to be 2130.

Behind Sub 2 -- Tab 2 are directions to the employees who are taking part in the training exercise on that date, the date in question in this matter. These were put together by the chief and his assistants and distributed to all participants in the
exercise.
Behind Tab 3 is Chief McElmury's documentation of an August 28 meeting with Mr. Pitts. Behind Tab 4 is a statement from Lieutenant Thomas Clark regarding his participation in the August 21, 2012 training exercise and what Lieutenant Clark observed regarding Mr. Pitts' conduct on that date -- He will be testifying here to describe this in more detail -- including the critical fact that Mr. Pitts left the training exercise on that date without obtaining supervisory authorization and before the exercise had been concluded.

Behind Tab 5, we have a corresponding statement from Firefighter Justin Beach regarding that training exercise. Mr. Beach was Mr. Pitts' partner during that training exercise and Mr. Beach was left at Station 2 by Mr. Pitts before the training exercise had been concluded. In fact, he was abandoned by Mr. Pitts at that time.

Tab 6 is documentation from Chief McElmury to other members of the department advising them that Mr. Pitts was no longer a member of the department as a result of the events surrounding the August 21 training exercise.

Behind Tab $F$, we have a series of
documents which are official department personnel records, all of which indicate that Mr . Pitts was not or has not for five years been meeting his clear obligation to attend 75\% of the department training exercises.

And at Tab F5, a letter from Mr. Guilbert who was chief at that time counseling Mr. Pitts that he is obligated to attend at least $75 \%$ of the department training sessions.

Briefly, behind Tab 1, we have the 2007 attendance records which show a 60\% attendance by Mr. Pitts, not the required $75 \%$.

Behind Tab 2, we have the corresponding 2008 records showing in that year, $60.98 \%$ attendance at training.

Behind Tab 3, we have the 2010 records indicating a $68.29 \%$ attendance at training, still well below the $75 \%$ standard.

And behind Tab 4, the 2011 Pitts attendance records here showing 61.54\% attendance at training.

Behind Tab 5, there are various pieces of correspondence between Mr. Pitts and Chief Guilbert and Chief McElmury addressing Mr. Pitts' failure to achieve the $75 \%$ training attendance requirement.

Tab G begins the records of prior discipline imposed upon Mr . Pitts in various years by the department.

Behind Tab G, we have a written reprimand which was issued because Mr. Pitts was cancelling his assigned work shifts without department authorization, without securing a replacement as he was required to do.

Behind Tab H is a record of verbal
warnings which Mr. Pitts received also in 2009 for gross and repeated insubordination when he refused to comply with repeated directives that he wear his uniform dress shirt in the fire station, directives which Mr. Pitts pointedly and repeatedly disregarded.

Behind Tab I are records of abuse and inappropriate conduct by Mr. Pitts, specifically a series of abusive e-mails which he sent to another village employee culminating in the need for Mr. Pollocoff, village administrator, to intervene in this matter because of the aggravated nature of Mr. Pitts' correspondence with one individual who is a village employee, Ms. Willke, which he copied to numerous other village employees which the department submits to the Commission is an indication of a pattern of insubordinate and unacceptable conduct, all of which is documented here. upon Mr. Pitts by Chief McElmury on April 25, 2011 for causing damage to a new department vehicle as a result of negligence on Mr. Pitts' part and also Mr. Pitts' refusal to cooperate with Chief McElmury in the investigation of this incident.

Finally, behind Tab K, we have documentation from the State of Wisconsin and from the City of Waukesha and the Waukesha Police Department indicating that Mr. Pitts in 2008 pled no contest to criminal charges of computer crimes and destruction of computer data, crimes which were directed by Mr. Pitts at a former employer who had terminated his employment in August of 2006, all of this is contained in the police report which is attached there. These crimes resulted in losses to the former employer of $\$ 34,000$ and that also is documented here.

Now because this department maintains a data base which contains significant amounts of confidential data and information regarding its citizens and employees of this village, the department believes that these records of criminal conduct by Mr. Pitts are clearly relevant to this matter and should be considered by you as a part of this recommendation.

That will conclude our opening statement
and we prepared to call Chief McElmury at this time. MR. MAYER: Fine.

MR. DE LA MORA: Excuse me. I would like to interject. At this time, Mr. Pitts, you can make an opening statement to the Commission about what your case is going to be about or you can reserve the right to make that statement after Mr. Olson and the chief have presented their case. Which would you want to do?

MR. PITTS: I will reserve.
Thank you.
MR. DE LA MORA: Okay.
DOUGLAS MC ELMURY, having been first duly
sworn on oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. OLSON:
Q Mr. McElmury, when did you become chief in this department?

A I became chief in February of 2012.
Q And what positions have you held here prior to the time you became chief?

A I have held the position of firefighter/EMT intermediate, training officer, captain, and assistant chief.

Q And when did you begin your employment here?

A On March 13, 1989.
Q How long were you the assistant chief here?
A Approximately 15 years.
Q Briefly, what are your duties here as chief?
A I oversee the daily operations of the department, budgeting, I oversee training and discipline and hiring of the candidates.

Q And you are also responsible for discipline of employees. Is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q Are you familiar with the work record of Mr. Pitts in this department?

A Yes, I am.
Q How have you become familiar with it?
A Most of the instances of his discipline, I was involved in. As the assistant chief, my responsibility was to investigate charges of wrongdoing and sit down with the individuals and perform counseling with that.

Q Thank you. Chief, was there training scheduled to be conducted for fire and rescue personnel in this department on August 21 of this year?

A Yes, there was.
Q Can you please explain to the Commission what was going to be conducted -- or what training was going to be conducted on that date?

A Sure. The training schedule that night is titled scavenger hunt and what we do is we pick multiple addresses that we normally don't go to and we actually put a small piece of like painter's tape with a letter or a symbol on that -- or a number. The crews need to go out to that location. They actually -- It is not just an address, it is the name of a building and if there are multiple buildings, we put that in there, which specific location. They go out and they find that -- not only find the building and become familiar with the area, but to be able to find the fire protection equipment, specifically the fire department connections or Knox Boxes and so on.

Q What was the goal or purpose of the training that was going to be conducted on that date?

A The goal was to familiarize the people with addresses and buildings that we don't normally go to or in some cases, we have facilities that have multiple locations in town and to kind of highlight some of those.

Q Thank you. When on that date was the training to occur? Between what times?

A It was scheduled to start at 1900 until it finished approximately at 2100.

Q Okay. Now it indicates 2130 in the document we looked at. Would that be --

A Training that night was delayed. The end of training was delayed.

Q Okay. Thank you. Chief, was it important for employees who were participating in this training to remain on duty for the entire time during which the training was to be conducted?

A Yes. One of the most valuable parts of the training is not only going out from address to address, but then the collective conversation at the end which buildings did he have problems finding, you know, what were some of the anomalies, access to the pumper pads and so on and the group discussion of that proves to be very beneficial and everybody learns from everybody else's problems.

Q So there is interaction at the end of the exercise. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Now if an employee left the training early, would that employee benefit from the training at all?

A It would be severely diminished as far as what they got out of the training.

Q I would like to refer you to Exhibit E1 in your materials. What is that, please, Chief?

A This is the sign-in sheet for the night of August 21.
Q What does it indicate?

A It indicates who was at the location by signing in and then if they -- if somebody was not at training, we have written in such as vacation, trades and so on. The names that are crossed off, those people are attendees on other days. We offer the same training three days in a row.

Q Now according to this schedule, this sign-in, was Mr. Pitts scheduled to attend this training?

A Yes, he was scheduled to attend and did attend.
Q Where do you see that, please?
A On the right-hand column, the sixth name down.
Q What role were you playing in the training session on that date?

A I was actually the training coordinator, the training leader. I was the one that handed out the information and the instructions, went over the instructions and then kind of the ground rules, if you will, and then facilitated the discussion at the end.

Q What role specifically was Lieutenant Clark playing on that date?

A Lieutenant Clark was the lieutenant on duty, so in my absence, he would have been the officer in charge of the training.

Q What was the location of the training, please, specifically?

A The meeting point that we used was 72nd Avenue just south of Highway 165 in the area of the town buildings and then from there, the people went out to the specific buildings that were listed on the training site and then met again on 72nd Avenue.

Q So their intent is to come back to 72nd Avenue where the training had begun. Is that correct?

A That is correct.
Chief, what happened on that date, August 21, involving Mr. Pitts and the training session, please?

The training session started approximately at 1908.
We got going a little bit late because it took a little bit longer to get all the clues in place on some of the buildings. We then briefed everybody on what the training was going to be. We split up the crews, made sure we went through the ground rules and everybody then dispersed and went out to the different addresses. Then what was supposed to happen is everybody was supposed to come back, we were supposed to review the findings and with the different letters and numbers, there is actually a puzzle they solve, if you will, try -- try to make training like this a little bit fun, so they actually had to solve a puzzle. There was a discrepancy. Two of the crews had different letters at one of the address, so I went to check on that
address -- or on the clue at that specific address, so I left the training prior to the end to check on that and then returned shortly after, after I checked on that clue. In the time that had transpired since I went over to Volkswagon to check on the clue and came back, the 5631 had in fact came back to the training site, had a discussion with a couple of the people, found out that there was a discrepancy in which of the OHL facilities were --

Q Chief, let me interrupt you. 5631, would you explain that?

A Sure. 5631 is the fire department's only ladder truck, 110-foot aerial.

Q Who was driving the truck?
A Firefighter/EMT Wayne Pitts.
MR. OLSON: Please go ahead.
A So the apparatus and the crew of Firefighter/EMT Wayne Pitts and Justin Beach had left before I returned to the site and we wanted to get going. We had called the crews back to the site so we could finish up the discussion as far as any problems of finding locations and so on and when I noticed that the ladder truck -5631 -- was missing, I asked if anyone knew where that rig was and everybody said the same thing; it was just here. We are not sure where it is at. I then went to
my command car and I called over Kenosha County fire frequency for 5631 which would be our normal operating frequency. There was no answer. I looked at my mobile data terminal which has icons of where the rigs are at any given time and I noticed that that rig was at Station 2. I then called Station 2. The phone was answered by Firefighter/EMT Beach and I asked him and Firefighter/EMT Wayne Pitts to come back to the scene. He said they couldn't do that. Wayne had already left and so he was there. Justin is on light duty. He is a qualified driver for that piece of apparatus, but is not able to drive it or respond to any calls for us because of a previous injury. He can ride as a passenger, but he can't drive it. So I then asked why Wayne left. He stated that Wayne stated something about being aggravated and he left. So I asked Firefighter/EMT Beach then to return to the training site in his personal vehicle so we could conclude training and he did as I requested. I was then able to ask him when he got back on the scene what happened. He reiterated the story that they left the training site, that there was confusion over one of the addresses and after they got on the road, they went by the site and he continued on to Station 2 and when he arrived back at Station 2, he parked the apparatus and left, thereby abandoning

Firefighter/EMT Beach at the station.
Q So Chief -- Mr. Pitts -- did not complete the training and left early. Is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q How again did you discover this, briefly, that he had left early? This was through your conversation with Lieutenant -- with Firefighter Beach. Correct?

A Correct. Well, physically, the apparatus wasn't there when I returned. Right around 8:45, physically it wasn't there and when I talked to Firefighter/EMT Beach, he confirmed that they had left prior to my arrival.

Q Chief, looking at that, Mr. Beach was Mr. Pitts' partner on Unit 5631 on that date. Correct?

A That is correct.
Q How did Mr. Beach get back to the training after Mr. Pitts left him at Station 2?

A Because he was not able to ride in the ladder truck because he was on light duty, he was forced to take his personal vehicle and drive down to the site on 72 nd Avenue from Station 2.

Q Now was that a concern to you that Mr. Beach had to drive in his -- his own vehicle as a result of being abandoned?

A Yes. It caused two problems. Number one, we weren't able to have the full crew there to talk about their
experiences during the training. We had to wait for Mr. Beach to return to the site so it inconvenienced everybody having to wait additional time for him to come back. So it definitely was one of the reasons why we went to 2130 hours that night.

Q Mr. Pitts was not at the conclusion of the exercise during which the discussion occurred. Correct?

A That is correct.
Q Did you speak to Mr. Beach on that date as part of your investigation of this incident?

A Yes, I did.
What again did he tell you had happened?
He stated that when they returned -- they went to the different addresses as outlined on the sheet, on the instructions, and when they arrived back at the scene, they found out that they had went to the wrong OHL. We have two locations; one over in one of the new town development buildings and there is also one on 109th Street. They established through talking to one of the crews that they went to the wrong one. Then I am told by Firefighter/EMT Beach that they then went -- said let's go check that address, so they both got in the ladder truck, 5631, they left, and then while at some point during that trip, they just continued on to Station 2 and at that point, Firefighter/EMT Wayne Pitts
left the station.
Q Prior to the conclusion of the exercise.
A Prior to the conclusion of the exercise, right, after stating he was aggravated or something to that effect.

Q In doing so, he left his partner, Firefighter Beach, at Station 2 without any way to return to the exercise. Is that correct?

A That is correct.
Did Mr. Beach give you a written statement regarding this incident?

A Yes, he did.
Q Would you please look at Exhibit E5 in your materials, behind Tab 5? Is this the statement that Firefighter Beach gave you?

A Yes, it is.
What does Mr. Beach state here that Mr. Pitts did during the training session?

A It states that they participated in the training scavenger type hunt. During the drill, they went to the different addresses -- to the certain addresses and they found the letters written on the pumper pads or department hook-ups. At the end of the scavenger hunt, they went back to the set location for the discussion of the drill. Firefighter Pitts is the driver of 31. We found out that they had went to the wrong location.

Firefighter Pitts said we are going to look at the correct location to receive the clue that we needed for the drill. As we left, Firefighter Pitts said he was aggravated and that we were going back to Station 2. At that point, he drove to Station 2. We arrived at Station 2. Firefighter Pitts said again he was aggravated and that he was out of here and he left.

Q Okay. Thank you. Just near the bottom, Firefighter Beach says, "I then returned to the training location to complete the training." So he was forced to do that on his own. Correct?

## A Correct.

Q Was that a concern to you?
A Yes.
Q Why?
A It caused a delay in training. He wasn't able to come with his partner to have -- and have not only Firefighter Pitts, but also the entire group gain the benefit of their experiences finding the addresses.

Q Chief, who was the lieutenant who was on duty during the training exercise?

A Lieutenant Tom Clark was on duty that day. Did you speak to Lieutenant Clark as a part of your investigation?

A Yes, I did.

Q What did Lieutenant Clark tell you happened on that date?

A Lieutenant Clark stated that 5631, the ladder truck, had returned to the location. There was a discussion reference the -- they went to the correct OHL building and at that point, somebody said we need to go. 5631 left the area. He did not know why or had not spoken with him about why the rig was leaving.

Q Now was Firefighter Pitts, Mr. Pitts, obligated to advise Lieutenant Clark and to obtain Lieutenant Clark's permission if he were leaving this exercise early?

A If he was going to leave the exercise early, definitely, he would need to.

Q Did he do that according to what you learned?
A No, he did not.
Q Did Lieutenant Clark give you a written statement regarding the events of that evening?

A Yes, he did.
Q Would you please look at Exhibit E4 in your materials, please? Is that the statement that Lieutenant Clark gave you?

A Yes, it is.
Q Why was the conduct described here by Mr. Pitts a concern to you, Chief?

A The fact that he left the training without letting
anyone know that they were going to leave. I normally would have been the person they would have needed to advise. However, I was checking on that address. In my absence, Lieutenant Clark is the officer in charge and was obviously right there because he had been involved in the conversation. So he failed to tell them. He would have been the one in my absence to need to know where all the apparatus was.

Q What should Mr. Pitts have done on that date, August 21, as a part of this exercise if he had to leave early? If he needed to leave early, he needed to contact either myself or Lieutenant Clark in my absence and ask permission to leave the training.

Q Thank you. And did he do that or not?
A No, he did not.
Q Chief, looking at your September 21 Statement of Charges if we could, specifically the last two paragraphs on Page 2 under Charges, you offer a series of statements that I would like to have you elaborate upon. First, how did Mr. Pitts' conduct -- I am quoting you -prevent the unit, 5631, from being available for emergency responses?

A When he left the training site and Firefighter Pitts abandoned the rig and his partner at the station without our knowledge of that rig being gone, had we had a fire
call, had we had a response for that piece of apparatus, we had no reason to think that it did not have a driver and that it was not available for response when in fact it was not. We didn't know that that rig was available until I called Station 2 and found out that Firefighter Pitts had left the rig there and had left the station.

Q Where should the rig have been?
A The rig should have been at the training site or anywhere where he had received permission to take it.

Q Thank you. Continuing on with your statement in the charges here, how did his conduct on that date, "cause a delay in the completion of training", Chief?

A Number one, we had to attempt to locate the location of the rig and its whereabouts. That in itself took a few minutes. When we established that the apparatus was at Station 2, I was able to call there and talk to Firefighter/EMT Beach who told me what transpired and when I asked him to return in his personal vehicle so we could do that, that took additional time for him to do that to drive back down to the training site from the corner of Highway C and H all the way back down to 72nd Avenue, thereby delaying training and everyone that was there.

Q Continuing, how did Mr. Pitts' conduct "force Firefighter/EMT Beach to return to the training site
in his personal vehicle"?
A Firefighter/EMT Beach is on injury leave, is not allowed to drive fire and rescue apparatus at this time, so he is only allowed to ride in that as a passenger, as an observer, or in his own personal vehicle.

Q How did Mr. Pitts' conduct on that date -- and I am quoting from your Statement of Charges here -- "show blatant disrespect for the department training"?

A By leaving before it was completed, he showed that he had no interest in the results of the training and the experiences of everyone that came back to that. He showed disrespect for the participants by forcing them to wait for Firefighter/EMT Beach's arrival back at the scene and delaying it and for the organizers, who had obviously taken a significant amount of time to put together this thing to drive around to each of the locations, leave clues and put together in essence a word puzzle to do this and picking locations that frankly are -- can be difficult to find, he showed complete disrespect for the organizers of the training in that way.

Q How was his conduct insubordinate as you state here?
A There was very specific instructions given at the beginning of the training to here is the addresses you need to find. You need to go find those. You cannot
talk over the radio, texting, phones or any other way, because we don't want the rig sharing clues and thereby eliminating a stop at one of these addresses. We want each of the crews to find these addresses. That is the purpose of the training. Then upon completion of finding all the clues, come back to the scene, assure that you've solved the puzzle and then share your experiences with everyone else.

Q How did his conduct -- again, I am quoting you -- show a blatant disregard for communication between department members and supervisors, please?

A The instructions were clearly given of what needed to happen. There was plenty of opportunities for him to communicate face to face, over the radio or even via cell phone and he did none -- he did not use any of those three methods to communicate.

Q How was his conduct, quote, detrimental to his credibility as a firefighter/EMT, Chief?

A These were simple instructions; come to the training, participate in it, come back afterwards. And when he left, that calls into question his ability to follow even basic orders at the scene of an emergency. If we order somebody to go in and search a building or more importantly, to leave that building or to move to a different area due to safety hazards, it calls into
question his ability to follow those basic instructions. If he is going to do what he wants to do, he can endanger both himself and others.

Q Chief, thank you. Did all of these stated considerations that you have described here come into play or cause you to make the recommendation which we have here before us to the Commission?

A Yes, that is correct.
Q Briefly, can you explain why?
A When you look at each of the components and how they added up to the disrespect for the people, the disrespect for the training, his failure to communicate, his failure to let us know that he took a piece of village equipment without our knowledge and left it at the fire house, again, without our knowledge and prevented us from having that piece of apparatus able to respond in the event we needed to, it created a safety problem, it created some potential bad will because people had to be kept at training longer and it just showed a total disrespect and disregard for what we are trying to accomplish as a department and what our mission is and what we need to accomplish in the event we had an emergency call in that time after he left.

Q Thank you, Chief. Would you turn to Page 6 of these charges, if you would, the final page? You state on
this page of the charges that Mr. Pitts has demonstrated what you characterize as "a clear pattern of refusal to adhere to department policies and supervisor directives." Is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q And why do you state that, please?
This isn't his first time we've been involved in a disciplinary issue. He's had multiple instances before where verbal warnings and counseling sessions were performed that were outlined at the beginning of the -of our hearing today.

Q Chief, were you in the position of either assistant chief or chief of the department at the time of these previous disciplinary actions which involve Mr. Pitts?

A Yes, I was.
Q Were all of these stated in his personnel file?
A Yes, they were.
Q Would you look at Document $G$ in this set of materials?
Do you refer to this disciplinary episode in your Statement of Charges?

A Yes, I do.
Q Was Mr. Pitts given a verbal warning in August of 2009?
A Yes, he was.
Q Do you find that in here?
A Yes, I believe to be about the seventh page -- eighth
pages. It is titled Documentation of Verbal Warning to Wayne Pitts, 8-20-09.

Q Briefly, why was Mr. Pitts disciplined in August of 2009?

A We had a bout of Mr. Pitts cancelling out on shifts of which he was assigned to work and then also, some he had signed up for in addition to the schedule. The way that the schedule works is the individual turns in a schedule of when they are available for paid on call or on-call shifts and part-time shifts. He turns that in to one of -- our paid on-call lieutenant, Lieutenant Madison (phonetic). He then uses that availability to create a schedule of all the different paid on call and part-time people and lays out in advance who is going to be on call or who is going to work part-time on specific dates. There were times that there were openings for part-time people that nobody had signed up for and in some of those instances, Wayne had signed up for those shifts, had written his name on the schedule and then did not fulfill those. There were seven specific instances in which he was assigned a part-time shift that he had in fact put down as being available and he canceled out of it without any coverage. There were four additional shifts that he had written in that he could cover and then failed to work that part-time
shift, would have it crossed off.
Q Chief, why was that practice that you have described here a concern to you and to Chief Guilbert?

A When you have people on the schedule, you are planning on them being there. The staffing is based on that. Sometimes we have assignments that are based on that, public education, training, and other things and if you know you have a set staffing level, you are going to be able to do things. It basically throws the ability to have any planning go right out the door.

Q What does department policy state about employees being available for duty?

A In the job description, it states that the person must be available to work part-time paid on-call shifts. Thank you. Chief, would you turn back to the first document behind Tab G, the letter from Chief Guilbert to Mr. Pitts dated August 17, 2009 ?

A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with the contents of that letter?
A Yes, I am.
Q What concerns are raised by that letter?
A Toward the bottom of the letter, not only did he cancel the shifts, but he made telephone calls and informed the people below my rank at that time, the assistant chief or the chief, and said that either he can't or he
will -- or will not report for duty and the chief -Chief Guilbert believed the timing of the calls were calculated with the intent of avoiding having to speak with either myself or Chief Guilbert.

Q These were during the times when he was cancelling out his work shift. Correct?

That is correct.
Chief, what did Mr. Pitts do with the verbal warning -the documentation of verbal warning that you and Chief Guilbert gave to him?

After completing the counseling session in which we went through the Documentation of Verbal Warning that we have just reviewed, he signed the Documentation of Verbal Warning. He then proceeded to the day room of Station 2 and posted it on the refrigerator.

What concerned you about his response to the discipline, his posting it on the refrigerator in the fire station? At that point, he was making mockery of the discipline that we had just sat down in doing. The goal of discipline was to advise him that this was unacceptable behavior, to try to change the behavior, and try to solve the problem. He then took that warning and just posted it on the refrigerator in plain view of everyone. We make great efforts to counsel in private, praise in public. This counseling session was done at my office
behind closed doors so would no one would have to hear that there were any problems with him to protect Mr. Pitts' privacy. He then took the efforts of private counseling and posted that on the refrigerator in front of the entire crew.

Q Thank you, Chief. Looking at Page 5 of your charges, you make reference to a prior disciplinary action that occurred on November 8, 2009 and November 14, 2009. What occurred on those dates that involved Mr. Pitts?

A Mr. Pitts was working at the station under the supervision of Senior Firemedic Ron Weavel, Jr. We had a special event at that station that night and he was asked to put on a uniform shirt. Our policy states if we have an official event, you need to put on a dress uniform shirt. There are times at night where you can wear just a tee shirt for calls, but for certain events, you do need to put on a uniform shirt. Instead, he just put on a sweatshirt. Then six days later, again, Firefighter/EMT Pitts was working with Firemedic Ron Weavel and he was told three specific times to put on his uniform shirt and each time, he failed to do so.

Q Now just to clarify, Mr. Pitts would have been subject to the supervision of Firefighter Weavel. Is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q So he should have obeyed orders given to him by Firefighter Weavel.

A That is correct.
Q Was that conduct by Mr. Pitts a concern to you and if so, why?

A The conduct was of great concern. It was blatantly insubordinate. He was insubordinate. He was instructed to put on a uniform shirt. We have that rule in effect for a reason. When groups come into the station or when we are going on an official event, we want to appear professional, we want to project a good image and frankly, it is done to show respect for the person that we are honoring. If it is a station tour or somebody is getting an award or something like that, you know, if we are sitting -- if the crews are sitting there in different degrees of uniform or dress, sweatshirts or whatever, it just doesn't look as nice and it doesn't show the respect that the other people are due.

Q Had he been directed to put on his dress shirt?
A Multiple times.
Q Would you be look at the materials behind Tab H, please, in your book? What is documented there, please?

A This is a copy of the e-mail that Firemedic Weavel sent to Lieutenant Barnes who would have been the supervisor on duty at Station 2 that day. This is the one dated

November 14 and this is where Paramedic Weavel had actually gave the exact times. He asked Firefighter Pitts to put on his dress uniform shirt at 1802, 1825 and 2000 hours. He was ignored as you can see.

Q What about the second e-mail also from Mr. Weavel?
A The second e-mail was written three days prior and it goes back to an event that happened on the eighth, so the shift before that even, where they had prize winners coming into the station and he had asked him at about 3:00 p.m. to put on his dress shirt. Instead, Firefighter Pitts put on his sweatshirt rather than his uniform shirt. So Firefighter Weavel felt completely disrespected and he was trying to get his crew looking sharp, wanted to do the right thing right in line with what our uniform policy is and Firefighter Pitts just ignored him.

Q Would you please look at the first sentence in the second paragraph?

A "To me, this is complete disrespect."
Q Do you agree that this is complete disrespect?
A Definitely.
Q Is it insubordinate?
A Yes.
Q How is it insubordinate?
A Firemedic Weavel was his direct supervisor that night.

He was instructed on both this and the other occasion multiple times to put a uniform shirt on and he failed to do so.

Q Did Mr. Pitts receive a verbal reprimand as a result of his insubordinate conduct toward Mr. Weavel?

A Yes. Lieutenant Barnes talked to him at some point in November reference these things and was told that when instructed to do so, he needs to have his uniform shirt on.

Q And that's the third document which is included behind Tab H. Correct?

A That is correct. It appears that Firefighter Pitts did not have his uniform shirt at the station that day which again is in violation of our policy for uniforms for part-time people. When you report to work, you have to have your uniform with you.

Q Behind that is a Uniform Regulation in the book. I would like to -- There is a statement. The first asterisk on the first page states, "The sweatshirt shall be worn over the uniform shirt, NOT instead of the uniform shirt." Is that the directive which you were talking about?

A That is correct.
Q Thank you. How long has that been in effect in this department?

A This goes back to -- The original date was 5-6-94. It was revised on 1-15-96.

Q Thank you. Chief, again looking back at Page 5 of your Statement of Charges under Prior Disciplinary Actions, what occurred on November 26, 2009 that involved Mr. Pitts and Village Administrator Michael Pollocoff? Firefighter/EMT Pitts met with Village Administrator Michael Pollocoff as a result of a series of e-mails and verbal exchanges that Firefighter Pitts had at the RecPlex. He was upset that he was not able to use a specific racquetball court. He then spoke with the RecPlex employees and others involved in that and evidently did not like the answer that he received. He then went on to have a series of e-mails that he exchanged back and forth with Ms. Willke, who is the director of recreation for the village. As these chain of e-mails continued to go back and forth, he then added additional people. As a matter of fact, to be specific, 17 additional RecPlex staff that had absolutely no direct oversight in the area of the racquetball courts; maintenance directors, ice directors and so on. People that had absolutely nothing to do with the incident at hand. And it was quite disrespectful and quite aggressive and frankly, quite sarcastic in some of the e-mails which then culminated in this meeting with

Village Administrator Michael Pollocoff.
Q Why was it necessary for Mr. Pollocoff to speak to Firefighter Pitts on that date?

A At that point, the e-mails had just gotten downright abusive and sarcastic and he was -- by sending them to the other people, it was showing complete disrespect to Ms. Willke and her staff for their policies and for the way that they run the RecPlex. The interesting thing about this is that Firefighter Pitts' membership is actually paid for by the Fire and Rescue Department. It is a benefit of being a paid on-call member. If you meet benchmarks, you are able to secure a membership for both the employee -- the paid on-call employee and their family which is of significant value and it is a significant perk that we use in attracting and keeping paid on-call personnel. So he was dealing with not only RecPlex staff, but his co-workers.

Q Now you state here on Page 5 of the charges again that Mr. Pitts' behavior was -- I am quoting you -- extremely insubordinate and disrespectful. Why do you state that?

A He was dealing again with fellow employees. The RecPlex is a village-owned building. They are village employees that he was sending e-mails to just as he is. They are in a different department, but they are all village employees. He was being extremely disrespectful and
very short with the supervision and with the management of the RecPlex.

Q Chief, would you please look now at Exhibit I in your materials behind Tab I and explain briefly what is documented there?

A The chain of the e-mails starts actually at the back and goes forward. There is a fairly extensive description of what the original incident was where Firefighter Pitts had signed up for a racquetball court, wasn't happy with the racquetball court that he was given because a wrestling program was in the one racquetball court that he wanted. He then continued on this exchange of e-mails and started copying in additional people including, as I mentioned, 17 other individuals here. As we keep going forward, you can see they get shorter and a little more disrespectful as time goes on with the -- Probably the worst one is on the very first page right after letter I. This is the e-mail dated October 14, 2009 at 3:14 p.m. to Carol Willke and at this point, it was to Administrator Pollocoff, Vesna, who is the administrative secretary for Mr. Pollocoff, and Jane Snell and HR. And the second line down, very sarcastically, he said "I appreciate the 3.5 minutes that you have spent to unsuccessfully schedule the meeting with me." So I would say pretty blatant
disrespect for a person who is the manager of another village department.

Q Now Chief, did this series of disrespectful e-mails to Mr. Willke from Mr. Pitts concern you as chief?

A Yes.
Q Why?
A It shows an ongoing pattern of just disrespect for anyone that is in authority or anyone that disagrees or has a different position than Mr. Pitts.

Q Thank you. Looking again back at Page 5 of your Statement of Charges, also under Prior Disciplinary Actions, can you explain to the Commission why Mr. Pitts was disciplined on April 25, 2011?

A On April 25, I gave Mr. Pitts a verbal warning for an incident that happened on April 24. We had just placed a Pierce pumper/tanker in service three days prior. This piece of apparatus is worth over half a million dollars. The Sunday daily duties include pulling that apparatus out and we clean the apparatus bay floors. The specific rigs that are in front -- that get pulled out the front of the building would be this pumper/ tanker, 5612, a ladder truck, and another very similar pumper tanker. They have the chocks down. Usually in colder weather, they are left running. Sometimes they are shut down for a short amount of time. What happened
was they were moving the vehicles back in. He moved the first rig back in successfully. Somebody else moved in the middle rig. Firefighter Pitts moved in the last rig, 5612, the new pumper/tanker, and while being backed in, he was stopped and a wheel chock had not been removed from the front of the tandem wheels on this hill and had gotten wedged underneath a sideboard that contains hose pushing the brand-new diamond plate metal up and thereby damaging it.

Q Now was this conduct negligent on his part as you observed it?

A Yes. It is the driver's responsibility to remove the chocks, store them in the proper holders prior to moving the vehicle.

Q So it was specifically what did he fail to do on that date that caused damage to the vehicle?

A He had failed to remove the chocks before moving the vehicle.

Q Did you speak to Mr. Pitts as a part of your investigation of this incident of what had occurred on August 25, 2011?

A Yes.
Q What do you recall about that discussion?
A It started out very evasive.
Q Evasive on his part you mean?

A Yes. Evasive on his part.
Q Please continue.
A He was not forthcoming. When I started out the questioning, can you explain to me what happened on Sunday, he answered something to the effect of I don't know. What happened Sunday? What are you talking about on Sunday? I would have thought that it would be pretty fresh in your mind that it was a pretty significant event. You just did hundreds of dollars, if not thousands of dollars worth of damage to a $\$ 500,000$ piece of apparatus the day before. I had to ask very specific, pointed questions about responsibilities; whose job is it to do this, whose job is it to do that, and only when asked very specific questions, would he give a specific answer. He never really took ownership. There was no doubt he was responsible for the incident at all. He was the driver. It is clearly stated that the driver's responsibility is to make sure the chocks were out. That fact could not be refuted. Getting him to take responsibility and ownership of the problem was another story. His e-mail that he sent to Lieutenant Barnes at Lieutenant Barnes' request very quickly, you know, showed that he was the driver, but another firemedic was backing him up. He was not able to see the chock from the driver's seat and that the other
firemedic stopped him while he was backing up. At no point did he say I made a mistake. I should have picked up the chock and I didn't.

Q What else did he do that caused concern for you as part of this investigation?

A As the discussion went, I proceeded to hand him the Documentation of Verbal Warning. I specifically stated in there that he was not forthcoming in the incident. The e-mails that he had sent basically tried to blame other people and he never, like I said, took the responsibility, so I mentioned in the Documentation of Verbal Warning that he was slow to take responsibility and was not forthcoming in the investigation and he took offense to that and refused to sign the Documentation of Verbal Warning unless that line was struck. I informed him that it wasn't his choice to strike what he was being verbally reprimanded for and he finally did cross off the line that he thought shouldn't be in there. He signed it and he said if any further discussion is going to take place, he wants to have a representative from HR present.

Q So Chief, if I understand your testimony, Officer Pitts, during this investigation -- the interview that you had with him, refused to sign the documentation until it was altered, refused to volunteer any information to you
which would have shed light on the incident, and refused to admit or acknowledge any fault for the damage to the vehicle. Is that your testimony?

A Yes.
Would you turn to Exhibit $J$ in your document? Would you briefly explain for the members of the Commission what is contained behind Tab J?

This is a series of e-mails. Page 1 is an e-mail that was sent to Chief Guilbert reference the incident. The second are actually my notes that I wrote down. This is a typed version of my notes. They were handwritten. They were typed for this proceeding. Page 3 is the actual Documentation of Verbal Warning. You can see the crossed off line that Wayne crossed off that says that he was not forthcoming with the details of the incident, was slow to take responsibility for the damage. He did sign it after doing that. And at the bottom, you can see the e-mail -- that is actually another copy of the e-mail. We do have that coming up again. Tom Overocker -- Firemedic Overocker did send an e-mail to both Chief Guilbert and Lieutenant Barnes about the incident with the wheel chock where he talks about who is doing what. And then the last page in this -Excuse me. The next page in here is Firefighter Wayne Pitts' e-mail to Lieutenant Barnes about what was
happening.
Q Thank you. Going back to the issue of the verbal warning, his altering it before he signed it, Chief, was his refusal to sign the document a part of the pattern of conduct that you commented on in your complaint?

A Yes.
Q Excuse me, in your charge?
A Yes. I think that is even further demonstrated in the chain of e-mails that went afterwards.

Q Would you point out an example of how that is indicated in the chain of e-mails?

A The very last page of $J$ is an e-mail that Firefighter Pitts sent me on Thursday, April 28, stating that he thought the verbal warning that I gave him was inaccurate and insulting. He then gave me six reasons why he thought it was inaccurate and insulting and I think the very last sentence says it all.

Q What does that state?
A "In an effort to further reduce unnecessary expenditures of administrative time and the prospect of me being insulted further, I hope you will consider this matter closed."

Q In your estimation, Chief, did Mr. Pitts ever fully or even partially cooperate with you in this investigation?

A Not at all. He asked very specific, very pointed
questions and again, at no point did he say, do you know what? I made a mistake. I should have seen it. I picked up the chocks in the other very similar -- almost identical piece of apparatus minutes before. I didn't pick up the chocks on this piece of apparatus, and I should have. I made a mistake.

Q Why was this incident including your investigation of the incident a cause of concern to you, Chief?

Well, there was significant damage to a brand-new piece of apparatus. It had to be repaired. The sheer fact that there was -- there was really no denying that he was responsible for it, but the fact that he wouldn't take ownership, the tone of the conversation when we sat down for the verbal warning and the fact that he wouldn't answer simple questions without almost an interrogation per se really goes with the pattern. He just doesn't get it. He doesn't understand that he needs to change his behavior at times.

Q Thank you. Chief, again looking back at Page 5 of your charges, if you would, under Training Attendance, please, Chief, why did you include this observation in your Statement of Charges against Mr. Pitts?

A Number one, the accumulation of this series of disciplinary actions was at a training and we have had previous problems with Mr. Pitts in regard to training
and his training attendance. Specifically four years, he didn't meet the minimum 75\%. We have a very complicated village. We have industry, we have housing, we have interstates, we have hazardous materials, we have railroads, much more so than -- From a fire and rescue standpoint, it is far more complicated than many, many communities. We really rely on our people to attend $75 \%$ of the training at a minimum to keep them up to speed in techniques to deal with these emergencies, to be proficient with the equipment, to know their way around and to know how to get to these addresses in a timely fashion and once they are there, to respond and function in an effective manner.

Q Chief, what had Mr. Pitts' Contingent Offer of Employment document state as to his minimum training attendance requirement?

A The minimum training requirement for fire and rescue people is 75\%.

Q Would you look at the document found behind Tab C in your materials? This is a letter and material dated July 16, 2002. Would you explain what that is and what its significance is here?

A This is Mr. Pitts' Contingent Offer of Employment that he would have signed upon being hired -- receiving a contingent offer upon successful completion of the psych
and physical of the requirements that he needed to meet.
Q Would you look at Page 2 of that document, if you would? Do you see the $75 \%$ training standard or requirement stated there?

A I do. Fourth line down. Specifically it states, "Must attend 75\% of all scheduled fire and rescue training sessions."

Q Okay. Thank you. Would you please go further back in your materials? It will be the fourth page which is headed at the top Page 2 of 3 in this same exhibit. What does the department's regulation which is represented here state about minimum training and attendance?

A This is the fire and rescue training requirements and rules and regulations. The first paragraph under A Required Trainings says, "Personnel classified as fire fighters SHALL attend a minimum of $75 \%$ of all scheduled fire AND rescue training sessions to maintain their employment with the Village of Pleasant Prairie."

Q These materials were provided to Mr . Pitts when he was hired. Correct?

A That is correct.
Q Now according to your charges, again on Page 5, did Mr. Pitts meet the $75 \%$ minimum training standard in 2007?

1 A No, he did not.
2 Q In 2008?
3 A No.
4 Q 2010?
5 A No.
6 Q 2011?
7 A No.
8 Q Why do you comment in your charges on his failure to

Q What does this indicate regarding Mr. Pitts' 2007 training attendance?

A It shows that the percent of his training attendance was 60\%. Cnt indicates count.

Q Thank you. Would you look at Tab F2? What is that exhibit?

A This is again the RMS records for the year of 2008, January 1 through December 31, showing that his count -or his percentage of training attendance is $60.98 \%$.

Q Is that substandard?
A Yes. It is less than $75 \%$.
Q Look at Tab 3. What that document?
A This is his participation in training detail here for the year -- the entire year of 2010 showing that his training attendance was $68.29 \%$.

Q Thank you. Finally, F4. What is that?
A The same form for the year 2011 and it states -- it shows that his training attendance was $61.54 \%$.

Q So Chief, it is your testimony -- and this documentary evidence supports that -- that Mr. Pitts failed to meet the minimum 75\% training standard in those four years; 2007, 2008, 2010, and 2011. Correct?

A That is correct.
Q Would you look at Document F5 if you would and explain what those documents are?

A This is a series of documents of both e-mail and e-mail exchange with Firefighter Pitts and myself in 2009 and a letter and other documentation from 2008 in which Chief Guilbert and myself had counseled Firefighter Pitts on his training attendance.

Q What was he told by you and by Chief Guilbert in 2008 regarding his attendance?

It specifically states that the demands of his full-time employment are factored into his training record, but his attendance record -- this is as of year-to-date at that point for the first three months -- were at 58\%. At some point, it becomes unacceptable because he is not receiving the needed training and refreshing and he is obligated -- he is missing required training which he is obligated to provide certain refreshers regard to health and safety issues. It finishes off with "When all is factored, you can become a liability", both to himself and his crew.

Q So following receipt of these documents, Chief, did Mr. Pitts improve his training attendance to the required 75\% in 2010 or 2011?

A No, he did not.
Did his continuous substandard training attendance concern you when you drafted the charges?

A Yes.

Q Why?
A Again, it goes to show the pattern of his disregard for the department's rules and regulations.

Q Thank you. Finally, would you look at the documents behind Tab K in your materials? These address the computer crime and damage. How did the facts that are stated here, documented here, first come to your attention as chief?

This was an EMT renewal. Part of the EMT renewal -and this is for the 2008 - 2010 renewal process -licensure period. Part of the requirement for this is that you need to go to a basic refresher, you need to be current in CPR, and you need to document any criminal or traffic history that you have. On the second page, you will see that there are two offenses or convictions listed. The first is for failure to yield while making a left turn and the second is a computer crime, specifically destroying data.
MR. OLSON: Please continue.

A So as part of the EMT licensure process, you have to provide records -- or the state will get the records -of these convictions and they will determine if they will renew the EMT license based on this. If you look at what the actual crime was, it refers specifically to destroying data from a former employer.

Q Thank you. If you would look, please, Chief, at the June 23, 2008 letter to Chief Guilbert from Mr. Pitts which is documented here, do you see that in front of you?

A Yes.
Q What does Mr. Pitts admit to in this letter?
He admits to committing the crime. He states that he pleaded no contest to the charge and he describes it as a prank that he played on a friend of his at her place of work, that he had accessed the website of her work and removed items around the production tracking website.

Q Did you consider this to be a prank as he alleges?
A No, I do not.
Q Does he state here that this company against which he played this alleged prank was one that had fired him?

A I do not see that. I do not see that in here. Okay. Now he alleges that "I also voluntarily offered to restore any damages which were estimated to be around $\$ 4,000 . "$ What was your understanding of the amount of damage that was caused by his alleged prank?

A Well, the $\$ 4,000$, actually about $\$ 4,080$ according to the criminal complaint, $\$ 4,080.45$ was the cost to restore the data itself. However, the company lost over $\$ 34,000$ in revenue because of the delay in the orders from them
being moved in the computer system.
Q The police report or the statement from the circuit court is contained in here as well, is it not?

A That is correct.
Q Would you please look at that statement, specifically at Page 3? Is the $\$ 34,000$ indicated there as being the loss sustained by the employer as a result of Mr. Pitts' actions?

A That is correct, as the lost revenue.
Q What about the paragraph above that? What is stated there?

A "Pitts stated that he did this on an impulse, to be mischievous. He stated that his motivation was to cause problems for St. George Publishing, but that he did not realize it would cause this many problems."

Q Chief, again, why do you believe that this criminal conduct by Mr. Pitts is relevant here to this department?

A Number one, we have an extensive amount of computer data. Not only do we have data on training, equipment records, personnel records, fire calls, but most importantly, we have medical records and these are HIPAA-protected medical records, private medical records of personnel or of patients that we have transported.

Q What do you believe to be the relevance of that here?

A Well, Mr. Pitts has access to these records and when he was disciplined, he's obviously shown a history of going in and attempting to tamper with or destroy that data and with this HIPAA-protected data for the patients, if that got into the wrong hands, could be of great concern. As a matter of fact, I was so concerned with this, I immediately, upon the termination of Mr. Pitts, sent out an e-mail to all of the fire department, to the police department dispatchers, and to the village department heads that Mr. Pitts had been terminated and he was not to enter the buildings without being escorted by myself or somebody that I had appointed and we had worked with IT, the Information Technology Department, to immediately suspend all access he had to our computer system and I had also, even to further assure that he wouldn't get in, taken away his rights to our records management system that included that sensitive patient data.

Q Chief, what standards of honesty and integrity does the department expect of its employees?

A The highest level. We are called to people in their greatest time of need; their most sensitive and their most embarrassing moments. If you look at any newspaper poll that is done, who do people trust? Firefighters and EMTS and paramedics come out on top every time.

That is something we don't take lightly because that is something where we need to do our jobs. If we don't have the trust of people to come in and do our job and to take care of the people and have them expect for us to be able to keep that confidential, it is almost impossible for us to do our jobs.

Q Chief, is intentional malicious damage to an employer consistent with the standards of this department?

A No, it is not. It shows a complete breakdown in decision-making ability and judgment that calls into question his ability to make prudent judgements at the scene of an emergency.

Q Finally, Chief, you made reference to a memo that you sent to the other members of the department and to the police dispatch. Is that contained at E6 of the materials, just to point out that it is here?

A Yes.
Q Is that the memo that you described?
A Yes. This is the e-mail that I sent.
Q Finally, Chief, looking at Page 6 of the charges, the charges of September 21, 2012, you state the following -- I am quoting you -- for the good of the Pleasant Prairie Fire \& Rescue Department, it is my recommendation that Firefighter/EMT Wayne Pitts be terminated from employment with the Village of Pleasant

Prairie Fire \& Rescue Department. Is that your statement?

A That is correct.
Q Why do you make that recommendation to this Commission at this time?

A Not only this last incident that in essence as you described was the straw that broke the camel's back, but all the events leading -- the disciplinary history and the escalating nature of some of this leading up to this doesn't -- doesn't show a good example of the decision making and the judgment of Mr. Pitts and it would make us impossible to run a department. If we have all the members deciding what they want to do when they want to do it and how they want to do it, in essence using their own rules and not following the rules and regulations of the department or acting like a member of a paramilitary organization, the ability to carry out basic orders and instructions and then come back and report the findings of those, it completely prevents us from operating in an efficient manner.

Q Chief, you also state that the termination of Mr. Pitts would be for -- I am quoting you -- for the good of the department. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Why do you state that?

A It is almost like an infection within the department. If you have somebody that just blatantly in this case, leaves training, everyone sees that and what does that show about that person's respect for the others in there, for the officers, for the management and for the processes that you have set out? It just shows a blatant disregard and it can't be tolerated. You need to stop that right away and make sure that people are functioning as a team.

Q Do you believe that the department has been tolerant and patient with Mr. Pitts prior to this last incident? Very much so. We have given him more than enough opportunities to correct his behavior.

MR. OLSON: Thank you. We have no further questions subject to any rebuttal to ask the chief.

MR. PITTS: I don't have any questions.

MR. DE LA MORA: No questions of the chief?

> MR. PITTS: No questions.

MR. DE LA MORA: Members of the Police
and Fire Commission?
MR. TERWALL:
With reference --
MR. DE LA MORA: Could you please
identify yourself for the record?
MR. TERWALL: Tom Terwall.
With regard to the August 21, 2012
incident, was there any radio communication between 5631 and dispatch while he was en route back to Station 2 or when he returned to Station 2?

THE WITNESS: None that myself or anyone on the fire grounds was able to hear. None that I am aware of.

MR. TERNALL: So Station 2 was not aware that a return to the station was needed.

THE WITNESS: Station 2 was at the training site on 72 nd Avenue. Station 2 was empty as a result of that.

MR. TERWALL: Okay. But dispatch
was not notified.
THE WITNESS: Not that I am aware of, no.

MR. TERWALL: My second question
is, what percentage of your employees, both full-time and POC, have attendance records that show a 60\% attendance over a four-year period? Is that an exception or is that --

THE WITNESS: That would definitely be an exception.

MR. TERWALL: Thank you.
MS. GENTHNER: Christine Genthner, commission member. I have a question with regard to Exhibit E1 which is the training sign-in sheet. Next to Mr. Pitts' name, it appears there is some initials. Would he have initialed that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MS. GENTHNER: And next to that, it
appears to be 2100. Who put that there and what does that stand for?

THE WITNESS: That would be my notes denoting that he left the training at 2100. Our people are paid for attendance at training and since training went to 2130 that night, the other participants that were off duty that came in for the training were paid to 2130. However, Mr. Pitts as only paid to 2100 because he had left by that time.

MS. GENTHNER: I have another
question. With regard to the communication to the participants that they were to not only complete the exercise, but stay for the discussion afterwards, how was that communicated to the participants?

THE WITNESS: Verbally at the start of the exercise. Every other piece of apparatus and all other participants were there.

MS. GENTHNER: I am not quite sure I understand some of the process. That is why I am asking the question. With regard to 5631, the physical vehicle itself, is it normally parked at a specific location? THE WITNESS: The station that he returned to, Station 2, is the assigned station for that piece of apparatus, yes.

MS. GENTHNER: But did I understand you to say that that station otherwise didn't have staffing because of the scavenger hunt?

THE WITNESS: Yes. The entire crew from Station 2 were on site on 72nd Avenue at the meeting point. Lieutenant Clark and all the other assigned firemedics and off-duty paid on-call part-time people were at the site on 72nd Avenue. The only one that was at Station 2 was Firefighter Beach after being abandoned by Firefighter Pitts there.

MS. GENTHNER: Is that likely where Mr. Pitts' personal vehicle would have been? Is that where he would have started that scavenger hunt from, that location?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We started at 72nd Avenue, but he met with the rest of the group at Station 2. He was at Station 2 prior to the beginning of training and then rode down on a piece of apparatus
probably -- I couldn't tell you if he came down on 5631. I am assuming he probably did.

MS. GENTHNER: Thank you.
MR. MAYER: Any other questions?
Okay.
MR. DE LA MORA: Your next witness.
MR. OLSON:
We would call
Lieutenant Thomas Clark, please.
THOMAS CLARK, having been first duly sworn on oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. OLSON:
Q Thank you. Lieutenant Clark, your position obviously is lieutenant. How long have you been a lieutenant here in this department?

A I have been a lieutenant for about 12 years.
Q What positions have you held previous to that in this department?

A I was a paid on-call for five years. I got hired after that full-time and I was a firemedic before lieutenant.

Q Thank you. Briefly, Lieutenant, what are your supervisory duties and responsibilities in this department?

A I would say middle management. I take care of all of the new building construction as far as code
enforcement, fire prevention, and I also take care of the maintenance of the vehicles.

Q Do you have responsibility during training sessions also?

A Yes, I do.
Q What was your role in the training exercise that was conducted by this department on August 21, 2012?

A It was more of to participate in the training. I was on one of the vehicles by myself and I also participated.

Q So you did attend the training session on that date?
A I did.
Q Lieutenant, was Mr. Pitts a participant in that training session on August 21?

A Yes, he was.
Q What was the directions that Mr. Pitts and the others were taking part, what were the direction that they were to follow and observe concerning beginning and concluding the exercise?

A Chief McElmury had given us a paper with a list of all the businesses and places to go to and write down a letter that was taped onto a specific piece of fire protection equipment and then transfer that onto the paper.

Q Lieutenant, were employees expected to remain to complete the training exercise on that date?

A Yes, they were.
Q And when was the training scheduled to end? Do you know?

A Routinely, it ends around 9:30, 2130. It depends on the training and when people get -- in that particular case, when they got the task completed.

Q Was it your understanding -- and the chief has testified -- that employees were to reassemble at the training point for discussion of what had occurred during the scavenger hunt?

Yes. That is what he said.
Thank you. Did it come to your attention on that date during that training session that Mr. Pitts had taken his department vehicle and had left the exercise without permission?

A I did not realize that at the time.
Q You knew that he had left, though. Correct?
A Yes, I did.
Q How did that come to your attention?
A We had a discussion. I was one of the first ones back at the rendezvous point on 72nd Avenue. We had a discussion. 5631 pulled up next to me. I got out and I was talking with them. We were kind of comparing notes and we came to realize that there was two OHL buildings in Pleasant Prairie and that 5631 had probably gone to
the wrong one and they were unable to get the letter off of that OHL building and after that, they left and I was assuming that they were going to the correct OHL building at that point to get that letter.

Q By "they", you mean Mr. Pitts and Firefighter Beach?
A That is correct. So Lieutenant, you were the lieutenant on duty during that exercise. Did Mr. Pitts ever on that date let you know or advise you that he was leaving the training exercise?

A No.
Q Did he ever ask your permission to leave the training exercise?

A $\quad$ No.
Q Did he stay until the exercise was ended?
A No. And that was -- I guess when I realized it is when Firefighter Beach was coming back in his personal vehicle.

Q He had begun that exercise riding in 5631 with Firefighter Pitts. Correct?

A Correct.
Q But he came back alone.
A He came back in his personal vehicle, right.
Q Hypothetically, Lieutenant Clark, if an employee who is participating in such a training exercise needs to leave
before the exercise is concluded for some reason, what should that employee do?

A They should contact a supervisor. If the chief is not available or the person in charge of training, which was the chief that night, they should go to the next immediate supervisor and it is permitted routinely if they need to leave.

Q But they have to ask permission and advise you before they leave. Correct?

A Correct.
Q And the chief was not there at the time, so you were the ranking officer. Did Mr. Pitts do either of these things? Did he advise you that he was leaving or did he seek your permission?

A He did not advise me that he was leaving and not for the rest of the night. They did say something when they pulled away in 31. I don't remember specifically what it was, but again, I was assuming that they were going to the correct building.

Q But in fact they went to Station 2 as you know now. Correct?

A Correct. I did not know that.
Q Lieutenant, I'd like to refer you to a document that you had written regarding this incident which is in front of you here. It is Exhibit E4. Did you draft that?

A $\quad$ I did.
Q Does this memo address the events that occurred on August 21 at the training exercise or during the training exercise?

A Yes.
Q In that memo, you state in Paragraph 2, Lieutenant Clark, the following -- I am quoting -- 5631 left the area. I assumed 5631 left to find the correct building so they could be familiar with it, and you testified that that was your understanding. What actually happened when 5631 left the area as you learned later?

A I found out that they had gone back to Station 2. I do not know if they went to the OHL building or not, but I did find out that they had gone back to Station 2.

Q And you had not been advised that they were going to Station 2. Correct?

A Correct.
Q You also state, Lieutenant, in the last paragraph of your memo that -- I am quoting you -- toward the end of the training on 72nd Avenue, Justin Beach arrived back at the training site driving his personal vehicle. Just to reiterate, Mr. Beach was Mr. Pitts' partner in Unit 5631 on that date. Correct?

A He was. He was in the passenger's seat.
Q Why as you understand it did Justin Beach have to drive
his personal vehicle back to the training site on that evening?

A Because he has an injured thumb and he would not be able to drive 5631. He is not qualified to do so right now.

Q Who had been the driver of 5631?
A Wayne Pitts was.
Mr. Pitts did not return to the training site. Correct?
That is correct.
The return of Mr. Beach occurred before the training had been concluded. Is that correct?

A That is correct.
MR. OLSON: I have no further
questions of Lieutenant Clark.
MR. MAYER: Any questions?
MR. DE LA MORA: I just have a
question for clarification. There's been a reference, Lieutenant Clark, to the OHL building. Could you explain what OHL, the acronym stands for? If you know. THE WITNESS: I am sorry. I don't remember what OHL is. It is a storage of pool supplies is what it is and I don't remember what is it. MR. DE LA MORA: Pool as in swimming pool?

THE WITNESS:
MR. DE LA MORA:

Swimming, correct.
I don't have any
further questions.
MR. OLSON: We would next call
Firefighter Justin Beach, please.
MR. DE LA MORA: Do we have any
questions by you in regard to Officer Clark's testimony?
MR. OLSON: I'm sorry.
MR. PITTS: No questions.
MR. DE LA MORA: Thank you.
(Witness excused)
MR. OLSON: We next call
Mr. Beach.
JUSTIN BEACH, having been first duly sworn on oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. OLSON:
Q Mr. Beach, what is your position in this department, please?

A A paid on-call firefighter/EMT.
Q How long have you been in that position?
A Approaching seven years.
Q Were you scheduled to take part in a training exercise on August 21, 2012?

A Yes.
Q What did the exercise consist of as you recall?
A A scavenger hunt type drill. We were there to --
we had a list of addresses of facilities and buildings to check for fire department connections and pump pads for letters taped to the appliances for a puzzle.

Q Thank you. And who were you paired with for the purposes of this exercise?

A Firefighter Pitts.
Q What unit were you assigned to?
A 5631.
Q Thank you. And who was the driver of Unit 5631 for this exercise?

A Firefighter Pitts.
Q Mr. Beach, did you prepare a memo or document regarding what happened during that training exercise?

A Yes, I did.
Q Do you have that in front of you?
A Yes, I do.
Q Thank you. Is this an accurate accounting of what occurred on that evening during that training exercise and your role in it?

A Yes, it is.
Q Mr. Beach, looking at this memo and based on your own recollection of what happened that evening, can you tell the Commission what happened? Did Mr. Pitts complete the training exercise with you?

A No.

1 Q Did he take you to Station 2 during the exercise?
2 A Yes, he did.
3 Q Was that before the training session was completed?
4 A Yes, it was.
5 Q Did he leave you there?
6 A Yes.
7 Q What did you have to do then to complete the training

A I had to return to the training site on 72nd Avenue in my own vehicle.

11 Q Why did you have to use your own vehicle?
12 A At this point, I am on injured leave. I am unable to

21 A Correct.
22 Q You had to complete the training on your own without

24 A Correct.
25 Q Did you speak to Chief McElmury on the phone that
evening?
A Yes, I did. There was a phone call placed to Station 2. Being the only one there, I answered the phone. It was Chief McElmury. I told him that I would need to respond back in my own vehicle because Mr. Pitts had left.

Q What did the chief ask you in that phone call, please?
A He had asked me if he had left and that -- if I would return in my vehicle.

Q Thank you. You did tell him that Mr. Pitts had left Station 2 at that time.

Correct.
You state in your -- in your written statement here, Mr. Beach, that Mr. Pitts saying that he was aggravated and he was out of there and he left. Is that correct?

A Yes, it is.
MR. OLSON: No further questions. MR. MAYER: Commissioners? MS. GENTHNER: Christine Genthner for the record.

Lieutenant Clark indicated that when 5631 left, that he recalls something to the effect of somebody saying we need to go. Do you remember somebody saying that?

THE WITNESS: Yes. At that point when we realized that there was a second location,

Firefighter Pitts had suggested that we go look at the second location and him being the driver, I was not going to wait; I was going to go with him. So he suggested that we do that and we left, but never made it to that location. We kind of rerouted our way to Station 2.

MS. GENTHNER: Nothing further. MR. MAYER: Any other questions?

MR. NELSON: Larry Nelson.
You said Mr. Pitts was aggravated and we were going back to Station 2. Why was he aggravated?

THE WITNESS: He had stated that he was aggravated. I didn't -- I didn't notice any physical aggravation. He said he was aggravated and that he was leaving. I don't know if it was -MR. NELSON: No explanation?

THE WITNESS: I don't know if it was with the training or myself. That, I don't know. MR. MAYER: Any other questions? MR. DE LA MORA: For the record, Mr. Pitts, do you have any questions --

MR. PITTS: No questions.
MR. DE LA MORA: -- of Mr. Beach?
MR. PITTS: No questions.
MR. DE LA MORA: Thank you, sir.

MR. OLSON:
would be Mr. Pollocoff.
MICHAEL POLLOCOFF, having been first duly sworn on oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY MR. OLSON:
Q Mr. Pollocoff, what is your position in the Village of Pleasant Prairie, please?

A I am the village administrator.
Q How long have you been the village administrator here?
A A little over 27 years.
Q Briefly, what are your duties and responsibilities as village administrator?

A I am responsible for implementing policies set forth by the village board, I am responsible for the day-to-day operations of general village operations, personnel, all financial operations of the village, various utility enterprise funds such as RecPlex, sewer and water utility.

Q You mentioned personnel. Do you have supervisory responsibility for village employees?

A Yes, I do.
Q I'd like to direct your attention, Mr. Pollocoff, to a meeting that you had with Mr. Pitts on October 26, 2009.

Do you recall that meeting?
A Yes.
Q What do you recall?
A It was a meeting that arose out of a dispute over a racquetball court assignment that had escalated over a string of e-mails from Mr. Pitts with Mrs. Willke.

Q Now what had Mr. Pitts done during this incident or during this time that concerned you in your role as village administrator, please?

A Well, Mr. Pitts as a paid on-call firefighter, one of the benefits we provide to those employees is access to the RecPlex for their use for its enjoyment as well as for physical development. They get to use that as an employee for the fire department. To have a dispute arising out of not being able to get the racquetball court assignment and then have a series of e-mails that were sarcastic and demeaning directed at the director and then copied to 17 other staff members who have no relationship to scheduling a racquetball court -- they might clean a racquetball court, they might walk by a racquetball court, but they have no involvement with that -- it was not conducive to a good employee working atmosphere. If there is a problem, he needed to talk about it with the chief or he could talk directly with the director. His actions were inappropriate and caused

24 A Yes.
25 Q Why was it a concern to you that he copied a number of
other persons with it?
A Again, it was bringing a personal problem that he was having to a great number of people who had no direct involvement in either fixing that problem or curing it and it was done to bring some level of perceived harm to Mrs. Willke.

Q Did you believe these e-mails were demeaning toward Mrs. Willke?

A Yes, they were.
Q What did you advise Mr. Pitts during your meeting with him on that date?

A I advised him that his actions were in violation of the village handbook, they were not becoming of a person of his position in the fire and rescue department, they wouldn't be tolerated and I found no reason for them to begin with at all.

Q Did you advise him during that meeting that he could be subject to further discipline if he engaged in similar conduct in the future?

A Yes, I did.
Q Are you familiar with what Chief McElmury is asking the Commission to do here?

A Yes, I am.
Q Do you agree with that?
A Yes, I do.

Q Why?
I think that there's been obviously a long-running series of events where Mr. Pitts finds himself in the unique position of being the only person who is not subject to the rules and regulations culminating in his last transgression, taking a half million dollar frontline piece of equipment out of service to a station with no knowledge, exposing the village and his co-workers to significant risk. I find that totally unacceptable and when taken in totality with all the other activities that he's engaged in over the last few years, I think there is really no other action that the village fire chief can take with any good conscience. MR. OLSON: Thank you,

Mr. Pollocoff. We have no further questions.
MR. MAYER: Any questions,
Commission?
MR. DE LA MORA: Mr. Pitts, do you
have any questions of Mr. Pollocoff?
$\mathbb{R}$. PITTS: No questions.
MR. DE LA MORA: Commission?
MR. MAYER: No questions.
(Witness excused)
MR. OLSON: We at this point rest subject to any necessary rebuttal. We have concluded
our case in chief here.
MR. DE LA MORA: What about your exhibits, Mr. Olson? You move them into evidence?

MR. OLSON: I move them into evidence, yes.

MR. DE LA MORA: Mr. Pitts, basically what has been requested by the chief is that the materials that are in the binder and have been marked as Exhibit No. 2 be accepted by the Commission as evidence in this proceeding. Do you have any objection to that occurring at this time?

MR. PITTS: No objection.
MR. DE LA MORA: At this point, it would be appropriate for Mr. Pitts to proceed with his presentation. My recommendation would be that since we started at 1:00, that you consider taking a five-minute break to allow Mr. Pitts to prepare and commence --

MR. MAYER: That is fine.
(Discussion off the record)
MR. DE LA MORA: At this time, Mr. Pitts, it is your opportunity to go ahead and present your case if that is what you wish. You also have the opportunity to make an opening statement before you present any testimony or you can go into the testimony immediately. This is your opportunity to be heard by
the Commission as to why you feel you should not be terminated as the fire chief has indicated. If you have other feelings other than that, please express them to the Commission.

MR. PITTS: Okay. Thank you.
Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak with you. First, I'd like to apologize to Chief McElmury, Mrs. Willke and Mr. Pollocoff for any disrespect that you felt came your way. I certainly didn't mean it and I regret any disrespect that I put on you. All I wanted to do is just add a little bit of perspective on the events.

I don't contest anything that the fire chief -- Chief McElmury has brought up. It is just that my understanding of the events on the training -- the scavenger hunt training on August 21 were different. That is simply all. My understanding was that we were supposed to find the location, solve the puzzle, return to the check-in point -- or check in at the check-in point and return to Station 2, and that is exactly what I did. We went to all ten locations, we solved the puzzle, we checked in and then I returned to Station 2. At no time did I have any intent to abandon one of the rigs or the training or Firefighter Beach. My impression was that everybody was coming back to Station

2 and that is why I returned to Station 2. I had to leave at 9:00 o'clock, and it is typical for training to go from 7:00 o'clock to 9:00 o'clock. They had not returned, but I left because I had to go take care of my kids. I told Firefighter Beach what exactly I was doing, that I had to leave to go take care of my kids and I asked him to relay the message when they returned back to Station 2.

Again, I was following instructions as far as I understood them and I wasn't trying to be insubordinate, I wasn't trying to abandon anybody or take any rigs out of service or anything like that.

The only other thing that wasn't clear -Well, before I move on, I can see now in retrospect from listening to the chief's understanding that I had a misunderstanding on what the instructions were and I deeply regret that I didn't follow the instructions or that I misunderstood the instructions.

As far as the training, the last time I heard the training was an issue was three and a half years ago in 2009. I haven't been aware or been counseled about my training attendance since then. If you look in the documents, it even shows that my training attendance did go up. I am not happy with the training attendance numbers and I wish I would have
known that it was an issue a little earlier because I would have made a more pointed effort to make all the training -- or to meet the standards for the training attendance.

The only additional perspective I would add to that is that in my career and ten years on the Pleasant Prairie Fire and Rescue, that I have met 75\% of the trainings. I have exceeded 75\% of the trainings. I wasn't aware and it doesn't say in the documentation that that window is on a yearly or annual basis. But regardless, I wish I had known that I was not meeting the training requirements in the last couple of years and I would have made a better effort to have done the training.

The only other perspective I would like to add is that I have been here and I have worked here for ten years. There are some incidents where I was counseled and corrected and I took responsibility and showed improvement in, but there are the also countless other hours and calls at all hours of the night that I went on and fulfilled my responsibility not only to the standard, but exceeding the standard and I would argue that that is the bulk, the high majority of my career here, but it isn't presented in the documentation that you are seeing.

So taking that into consideration, I would simply request that you consider instead of termination, a probation to give me the opportunity to correct the issues that I am aware of that have been brought up here and continue serving the Village of Pleasant Prairie, the fire department, and the residents of the Prairie. The village has a lot invested in me in the last ten years and I still have a lot to give and my intent is to do that to the best of my ability not only meeting these requirements, but exceeding these requirements and I hope you will agree to and give me the opportunity to do that. Thank you.

MR. MAYER: Any questions?
Mr. Terwall?
MR. TERWALL: With respect --
The question is with respect to the number of both paid on-call and part-time shifts that you canceled on fairly short notice. Can you explain what happened there?

THE WITNESS: There really wasn't a policy in place about anything about the duty schedule until this occurred and I work a full-time job, so sometimes I hit traffic or I work late and I can't get to the station in time and so that is typically what has happened in the past. On short notice, I try to find coverage for the shifts, but it is not always possible
on such a short notice, but I would offer on the flip side that there is a lot of times that the reverse happens where I get a call that says hey, can you come into the station. We missed -- somebody else couldn't make their shift or we have a public ed event or we have a triathlon. I guess my hope would be that both sides would be just as flexible with each other and work together and I think for the most part, we have done that.

MR. TERWALL: Thank you.
MR. MAYER: Roger Mayer. How
would you explain the RecPlex fiasco with the e-mails to Mrs. Willke? I understand getting frustrated over some issue, but I don't quite understand why you would take it to such a degree. What was the motivation? What was so angry and frustrating --

THE WITNESS: That is a great question. I have thought a lot about that, too, and again, I would like to apologize to Mrs. Willke because I didn't mean any disrespect. I was just frustrated in the process in trying to get an answer to my question and find a workable solution. That is initially how I started that; to find a workable solution. I copied other people in because I was not sure if other people would have a perspective or maybe somebody else was in
charge or had something to do with scheduling those conflicting events. I think I remember at the time -and maybe I am not remembering correctly -- I think you were the director of $H R$ and the director of recreation, so I thought maybe somebody -- that it was somebody else's responsibility. But I do -- I am sorry and I do regret the disrespect that I gave to Mrs. Willke and I am very sorry about that and I wish I could have done better.

MR. MAYER: Another area. You want us to believe that over this long period of time with the training, that you never were counseled -I can't go through all of these documents -- to say this is where you have to be. It goes back to Chief Guilbert. So the only obvious answer that the chief can come up with would be that you don't give a damn. You did not respond because it wasn't one time. It goes on for years from '07 to '11 -- or '08 to '11.

THE WITNESS: That is a good
question. The last time I was counseled on training attendance was back in 2009. I had no idea that I was not meeting the requirement. MR. MAYER: So nobody talked to you since 2009?

THE WITNESS:
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Correct.

MR. MAYER:
I have to go back and read through all of this, but okay. Any questions? Larry?

MR. NELSON: Firefighter Beach, I believe when I asked him the question, you know, what did you say, he was agitated or whatever? He just said he was agitated and had to go. You told him that you were going, but yet there was no reason -- he didn't mention a reason that you had to get home because of whatever and he is not your supervisor.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. NELSON: So I am having a problem understanding why you didn't report to your supervisor that you were going.

THE WITNESS: I understand. I did provide Firefighter Beach a reason. I told him that I had to go take care of my kids and I was under the understanding that everybody, the supervisors included, were coming back to Station 2 and training is typically concluded at 9:00 and it was 9:00 o'clock when I asked Firefighter Beach to relay the message to the supervisors when they returned to Station 2.

MR. NELSON: Is it Beach's responsibility to do that? THE WITNESS: No, it is not his
responsibility, it is my responsibility, but in the day-to-day operations of the department outside of what is written in the book, it operates differently and there is a lot more flexibility and there is a lot more understanding and scratching each other's back or watching each other's back and so that is not abnormal in the day-to-day operations.

MS. GENTHNER: Christine Genthner.
With regard to the $75 \%$ attendance at training, you acknowledge you were counseled in 2009. Correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MS. GENTHNER: And the records appear to indicate that even after the counseling in 2010 and 2011, you still did not meet that $75 \%$.

THE WITNESS: That is correct.
MS. GENTHNER: What did you do to follow up with trying to get in the training for that 75\% because obviously, you knew from 2009 that that was a requirement.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. I tried to make greater attendance to the training, which I did and it shows the percentage going up. I guess I wrongly assumed that I would have been counseled, that I wasn't meeting the training requirements or wasn't meeting the standard and I wasn't -- it was never brought to my
attention, so I didn't think it was a concern.
MS. GENTHNER: What did you do to make sure that you were meeting that? Who keeps track of it?

THE WITNESS: The administration in the department.

MS. GENTHNER:
Did you address it with administration or anybody even midway through the year to find out how you were doing?

THE WITNESS: No, I did not because
typically if it became an issue, somebody would let me know.

MS. GENTHNER: With regard to that night, did I understand you to say that you believe you completed the scavenger hunt?

THE WITNESS: I did, yes.
MS. GENTHNER: But there was some -maybe I misunderstood, but I thought at some point when you and your partner returned to the 72nd Avenue location, there was some confusion about whether or not you and your partner had gone to the correct OHL building?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MS. GENTHNER: So did you go back to the correct OHL building before you went back to Station

THE WITNESS: No, we did not.
MS. GENTHNER: So in fact, you
didn't complete the scavenger hunt?
THE WITNESS: Well, my understanding of the instructions were to go to all the ten sites and to solve the puzzle and to check in and return to Station 2. I didn't know if we were supposed to go back to the original or the correct OHL or if we had been to the correct OHL or not, but I received a call that I needed to take care of my kids and so it was at that time that I diverted from going back to the OHL to Station 2.

MS. GENTHNER: So in fact, you didn't solve the puzzle because you had not gone to the correct OHL?

THE WITNESS: No, we did solve the puzzle. The answer was sprinklers.

MS. GENTHNER: At some point, did you make a statement to Firefighter Beach about being aggravated?

THE WITNESS: I was aggravated
because of the call I received. I had made plans for somebody to watch my kids that evening so that I could typically -- Training takes time, from 7:00 o'clock to

9:00 o'clock. I had made plans with somebody to be there in case it runs over and they said that they wouldn't be able to stay there and that I had to go to watch my kids.

MS. GENTHNER: Nothing further.
MR. MAYER:
Help me out because
you ask that we consider -- we give you another chance and put you on probation.

THE WITNESS: Sure.
MR. MAYER: That is a
consideration, but explain to me that number one, after you were counseled, you did not pay attention to what your percentage was which should be your duty to know where you are at, but you just told us that you have a children's problem for a babysitting type of thing, you told me there is traffic involved, so what is going to make the difference? If we give you probation or suggest that, what is going to make any difference in your life that you won't be back here in several months doing the same thing? What changed in your life to make it all of a sudden, you will be pure as the driven snow and solve all these problems and you are going to make the chief happy?

THE WITNESS: It is a great question. I think it comes down to me personally taking
responsibility and ownership of the mistakes that I have made and taking ownership for them and having a plan, taking ownership of correcting those problems. You can see some of that in the documentation that was provided to you in mentioning that the training attendance did go up after I was counseled.

MR. MAYER: But it still has
never been where it should be, never once.
THE WITNESS: That is correct.
I wasn't sure if I was making training attendance
standard or not because I wasn't getting any feedback and even so, I mean, the documentation states that it is 75\% training and over my career, I have far exceeded the 75\% training. So I am asking for that opportunity because now I have the feedback and once I get the feedback and I know the changes and corrections I need to make, it is up to me to make those changes and corrections. And like I mentioned before, the village has a lot invested in me and I have a lot of service yet to give the village and the residents and I would like the opportunity to do that.

MR. MAYER: Any other questions?
MR. TERWALL: No.
MR. NELSON: You said you had to go home --

THE WITNESS:
MR. NELSON: -- to take care of
the kids.
THE WITNESS: That is correct.
MR. NELSON: In the report from the chief in the factual summary on Page 2, when he called the house, a lady answered. So there was somebody home.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct; there
was.
MR. NELSON
So I guess I don't
understand the urgency of going home to take care of the kids if there was somebody there because you said there was nobody there, that she couldn't make it or something.

THE WITNESS: I can provide some clarification. At the time, my wife and I were recently separated and she agreed to come watch the kids -- watch the kids until 9:15 and I got a call from her shortly before 9:00 o'clock saying she would be there until 9:15, no later, and that I should be there to watch the kids. So that is why I was in a hurry to go home and take care of the kids. I picked them up and we went out and she was there apparently when Chief McElmury called. MR. NELSON: I have one other
question. Concerning the uniform, there were a series of a couple days where you were asked to put on the dress uniform or shirt.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. NELSON: Why didn't you comply
with that?
THE WITNESS: In fact, I did. You can wear a dress uniform and if it is cold, you can wear what we call a duty shirt or duty sweatshirt over it, but you can't see the duty shirt when you are wearing the sweatshirt, so it is just another layer to wear if you are cold, but I didn't really contest it because you can't really tell and I am sure Ron couldn't tell if I was wearing it or not.

MR. MAYER: Any other questions
by the Commission?
MR. DE LA MORA: At this point, I think we should clarify the record. It was not clear to me whether you were intending to give testimony or just make a statement. Would I be correct in understanding that you were intending to give testimony?

MR. PITTS: No. I think as we spoke before we reconvened, I just wanted to make a statement, and I have made my statement. MR. DE LA MORA: Okay. But would you
have any problem in taking an oath and saying that what you have testified to was correct and would have been the same testimony had you been under oath so that Mr. Olson can ask you questions?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't have a problem with that.

MR. DE LA MORA: Why don't you administer the oath.

WAYNE PITTS, was first duly sworn on oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

MR. DE LA MORA: Now administer an oath regarding the previous testimony he gave being truthful.

WAYNE PITTS, having been first duly sworn that his prior statement/testimony was given under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

MR. DE LA MORA: Mr. Olson, do you have any questions that you want to ask?

MR. OLSON: No. We are going to recall the chief to address some of the assertions that have been made.

MR. DE LA MORA: Okay.
MR. OLSON: Could we recall Chief

McElmury now --
MR. DE LA MORA: Yes.
MR. OLSON: -- as a rebuttal
witness?
MR. DE LA MORA: Yes.
EXAMINATION BY MR. OLSON:
Q Chief, I'd like to ask you several things about what Mr. Pitts has said here regarding the training exercise on August 21. First, he stated that he was under the impression that the conclusion of the training would be at Station 2. What is your reaction or response to that assertion?

A If that was his reaction, he was the only one that had that because all the other units were there, everyone was standing outside.

Q There being where?
A At the 72nd Avenue rendezvous point and also the original meeting point. When I returned at about roughly ten or 12 minutes to 9:00, everybody was there outside of their apparatus and were beginning to discuss the events and the locations and some of the problems that they had with that. We then concluded the -We waited for -- Once we established that 5631, the ladder truck, was missing, we waited and just had a conversation as a group until Firefighter Beach
returned. We then did a wrap-up of that training and we all left. Normally when we meet on site, we go through a talk, we make sure that there is no questions, any other announcements that need to be made, things like with all the construction on I-94 project, stuff like that, we talk about stuff that, upcoming trainings, special events and so on, we discuss all of that and then is every one all set? It is usually a very large group of people going to each of the individual pieces of apparatus and then returning to their respective stations, which is what happened that night when training was truly over.

Q Had you directed the employees who were taking part in that exercise that night that they were to return to the meeting point?

A Yes, I did.
Q And that meeting point was or was not Station 2?
A The meeting point was our original meeting point on 72nd Avenue.

Q Would you look at Document E2, the directions to the scavenger hunt, please?

A Yes.
Q Were these distributed to all the members that were taking part in the exercise that evening?

A Each crew had this because they needed this list of
names because this is the list of addresses that they went to, so each piece of apparatus had this sheet with them.

Q The last sentence before the numbered statement says, "The first team back to the meeting point that has visited all of locations listed below, with both of their forms filled out with all of the required information wins." The meeting point again was where?

A 72nd Avenue south of 165.
Q This says back to the meeting point. Correct?
A Yes.
Q Should there have been any doubt as to where the conclusion of the exercise was to be?

A No, there was no doubt with anyone else that was on scene.

Q I'd like to look at your Statement of Charges if we could, Page 2, the statement of facts that you provided for the benefit of the Commission. Officer Pitts stated that he was expected to be home at 9:15. Is that your understanding of what he said?

A That is what I understand he said, yes.
Q Would you look at the second paragraph up above Charges which states at approximately 2133? Would you go ahead and explain what you are stating there?

A Sure. I wanted to try to establish what happened here,
why did he leave? He being Firefighter Pitts. So I called his home number which is the number we have on file on our telephone list at approximately 9:33 that night, 2133. A female answered the phone. I asked to speak with Firefighter Pitts and she stated that -the female that answered the phone informed me that he wasn't available. I asked if I could leave a message for him and the female stated yes and then I asked her to leave a message that I called and could he call me.

Q So he stated that he had to be home at 9:15 to watch his kids. Was he home at 9:33?

A If he was, I would not have been aware of that because the female told me he wasn't available. She didn't state if he was or was not there.

MR. OLSON: Thank you. I have no
further questions.
MR. MAYER: Any questions?
MR. DE LA MORA: Mr. Pitts, do you
have any questions of the chief?
MR. PITTS: No, I don't.
MR. DE LA MORA: Members of the
Commission, any questions of the chief?
MR. TERNALL: No.
MS. GENTHNER: Christine Genthner.
Did Mr. Pitts call you back after you
left the message at 2133 on August 21?
THE WITNESS: I don't recall that he called me that evening. I believe he called me either the next day or the day after. I am going off memory here.

MS. GENTHNER: Nothing further.
MR. DE LA MORA: Could I ask a
question --
MR. MAYER: Yes.
MR. DE LA MORA: -- in follow-up?
Do you have any recollection of the substance of that conversation that you had the day after or subsequent to the date in question here?

THE WITNESS: I don't have any specific -- If I could refer to my notes.

MR. DE LA MORA: Please do.
THE WITNESS: I don't have any notes from the initial phone call after the incident. We did schedule a time for him to meet one week later. We tried to set up a time and there wasn't a time that we could get together until the training session scheduled for the 28 th of August and that is when we met -- that is when we met and issued the first paperwork on this incident.

MR. DE LA MORA: Thank you.

MR. NELSON: Mr. Pitts said that -- he is saying one thing about the procedure that hey, I am leaving the station and he told his partner, you know, to tell the supervisor and so forth. Is the procedure to tell the supervisor that you are going on a daily basis? On a day-to-day basis? How does that work within the department? Was there some confusion on his part that way?

THE WITNESS: Probably the most accurate thing -- or the best example I can give is of any training session. We have a cross section of people in our department, full-time, paid on call, part-time, interns and so on. People have family responsibilities, kids get sick. We have had instances where people were injured, family members were injured, there was some type of an emergency, the house is flooding. It is not uncommon for people to have to leave. What is uncommon is for them not to tell the person in charge of the training or an officer there, you know, one of the lieutenants, somebody that is in charge saying hey, I got this happening, I need to leave. We would grant that. It is not unusual to say I am losing my child care at this time, could I leave? All we ask is you have to tell us and we can accommodate that, but you have to tell us. So it is not unusual at all for a
training, but very unusual not to tell a direct supervisor, whether it be a lieutenant or whoever is doing the training or myself, that they need to do that. MR. MAYER: Any other questions?

MS. GENTHNER: Christine Genthner for the record. How is that communication typically made? Is it cell phone? Is it radio? What equipment might Firefighter Pitts have had to communicate to somebody at 72 nd Street (sic) after he left what he wanted to do?

THE WITNESS: At the site, he had the opportunity to talk face to face with Lieutenant Clark. Lieutenant Clark was there, one of the first people back. He could have said that face to face. After they left is when he found out he needed to go home. We have high power radios in each of the rigs that is capable of talking among the -- between different rigs, to our dispatch center and so on. Obviously, he had his cell phone with him if he was able to get a call. He had the opportunity to make a cell phone call to our dispatch center. He may not have had the number for one of the -- for like the lieutenant's phone, but he would have had cell phone numbers for people that were at the training, people that he is personal friends with that had their cell phones on them. So there were multiple opportunities to make
that contact himself to inform either Lieutenant Clark or myself that he needed to leave.

MS. GENTHNER: Thank you.
MR. NELSON: I have one more
question. The uniform deal. Could you go over that part of your testimony there? I am getting confused with the shirt, under the shirt and over the shirt.

THE WITNESS: Sure. Firefighter Pitts is correct in stating that the sweatshirt is an outer garment and can be -- it states right here that it can be an additional piece of clothing over the top of the uniform shirt. Firefighter Weavel -- Firemedic Weavel was very specific that he did not put on that dress uniform shirt on either occasion. I think a follow-up to that is important, that when Lieutenant Barnes spoke with him, there was no mention that he had his shirt on and that he put his sweatshirt over it. He reminded him he needs to have his shirt with him as a part-timer. The problem is he didn't have his shirt there at the station. He put on his sweatshirt in lieu of the uniform shirt.

MR. NELSON: And that is not
acceptable.
THE WITNESS:
Right. The sweatshirt is to be worn over the uniform shirt, and you can
see it because the collar comes up in between where the collar of the sweatshirt is.

MR. NELSON:
And he did not have
that on.
THE WITNESS: That is what both
Firemedic Weavel and Lieutenant Barnes indicated; that he did not have the uniform shirt on on either of those dates at any of the times that he was asked to do so.

MR. MAYER:
Any other questions?
MR. TERNALL: No, sir.
MR. DE LA MORA: Is there anything
further that you wish to state, Mr. Pitts, or submit to the record before the proceeding is closed? THE WITNESS: No.

MR. DE LA MORA: Okay. My
recommendation would be to the Commission that it declare the hearing closed and that the Commission consult with the reporter as to when a transcript might be available so that you can convene and deliberate this matter in closed session.

MR. OLSON: If I may, may I make
a brief closing statement?
MR. DE LA MORA: Forgive me.
MR. OLSON:
I don't have a lot,
but I want to bring up something that was brought up by

Mr. Pitts. Traditionally, we would have a closing statement going back through all the evidence. We don't believe that is necessary. I think it's all been made very clear to you, but there was one suggestion that was made by Mr. Pitts that he be placed on probation and given another chance. Our response to that is this is too late for that. This department and this administration has been more than patient in dealing with these multiple examples of insubordination, failure to follow rules, all the things that we have documented here today and explained for you. That time has come and gone where that would have been a possible outcome of a hearing like this.

We didn't come here today because we want to see him return. We thought that now is the time where with all the evidence that's been accumulated and all the things that the chief described for you, there is no further -- there is no further opportunities for Mr. Pitts to improve his performance beyond what we have already seen here, so we would argue that that would be an inappropriate -- an inappropriate response and outcome here. Thank you. That is all we would have to offer on that.

MR. MAYER: Thank you.
MR. DE LA MORA: Do you have any final
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closing statement?
MR. PITTS: No.
MR. MAYER: I will take a motion
to adjourn.
MR. DE LA MORA: Wait. We need to find out from the reporter realistically when we can obtain the transcript.

THE REPORTER: From ten days to 14
days.
MR. DE LA MORA: I don't believe there is any need for an expedited transcript. 14 days would be reasonable.

MR. OLSON: That is fine with us.
MR. DE LA MORA: Perhaps if the
Commission would like to look at its calendar, schedule a meeting.

MR. MAYER: This is a closed
meeting just for the Commission?
MR. DE LA MORA: And possible
announcement of the decision afterwards.
MR. TERWALL: Assuming it is 14
days. If we don't get the transcript until two weeks from today, would we have an opportunity to review that before we meet or would we see it for the first time when we meet?

MR. DE LA MORA:
I would think that
it would be more productive if we make copies available to you prior to our meeting and so given that comment, I think it might make sense to go out further on the calendar assuming --

Mr. Pitts, do you have any objection to the Commission taking more time to be able to review the transcript?

MR. PITTS: No, I don't.
MR. DE LA MORA: Are you prepared to waive any time period that may require the Commission to take action promptly?

MR. PITTS: Yes.
MR. DE LA MORA: Okay. And do you agree?

> MR. OLSON: Yes, sir.

MR. DE LA MORA: Okay. So you are free to set a date. I would recommend maybe 30 days from now.

MR. TERWALL: Or at least three
weeks.
MS. GENTHNER: Three weeks, yes.
MR. MAYER: This is the 12th, so
say the 15th, the first part of November. Fifth?
Sixth? Something in there?

MS. GENTHNER:
MR. MAYER:
MR. NELSON:
Tuesday.
MS. GENTHNER
The fifth or sixth,
I would be available.
MR. MAYER
MR. TERWALL:
MR. NELSON:
MR. MAYER:
MR. NELSON:
4:00 o'clock?
MR. MAYER:
Probably 4:00
o'clock.
MS. GENTHNER:
I am available
anytime that day.
MR. TERWALL:
Mike, you had a
comment?
MR. POLLOCOFF: The sixth is election
day.
forget.
hostile depending how they vote. How about Thursday on
the first?
MR. TERWALL: That is good for me.
MS. GENTHNER: That would be fine.
MR. NELSON: At 4:00 o'clock?
MR. MAYER
Yes. All right. We
will meet November 1st, 2012, at 4:00 o'clock. Are we going to be able to use the other facility for that time?

MR. TERWALL: Does November 1 give
them --
MR. MAYER:
It is not quite three
weeks. Maybe it is too short. Let's go back. How about the 12th?

MS. GENTHNER: I can't do it on the
12th.
MR. MAYER: The eighth?
MS. GENTHNER: That, I can do.
MR. MAYER: The eighth is good?
MR. NELSON: The eighth is okay.
MR. MAYER: Now it is the eighth.
Janice, on Green Bay Road?
MS. LEGLER: Yes.
MR. MAYER: The eighth of
November. Anything else?
MR. DE LA MORA: The meeting will
be --
MR. MAYER: At Green Bay Road.
MR. TERWALL:
Can we go for 3:00
o'clock? I have a 6:00 o'clock meeting that same night. MR. MAYER: 3:00 o'clock is fine
with me.
MR. TERWALL: On the eighth. Is
that good for you?
MR. MAYER: 3:00 o'clock on the
eighth.
MR. TERWALL: Send us an e-mail,
would you please? So I can update my calendar.
MR. MAYER: Motion to adjourn.
MR. TERWALL: So move.
MR. MAYER: Motion to adjourn.
All in favor?
MS. GENTHNER: Aye.
MR. TERNALL: Aye.
MR. NELSON: Aye.
MR. RAMSDELL: Aye.
MR. MAYER: Thank you all.
MS. GENTHNER: I second. Do we keep
our materials then?
MR. DE LA MORA: Yes. My
recommendation is that you keep your materials, that you
not discuss this matter amongst yourselves until you are together in a closed session and that you not discuss this case with anybody else for the sake of the integrity of the process.
(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 3:45 P.M.)
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